THE UNIVERSITY OF THE WEST INDIES

ASSESSMENT FOR SENIOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF AND PROFESSIONAL STAFF CATEGORIES

EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE TO BE COMPLETED BY HEAD OF DEPARTMENT/SUPERVISOR

SECTION A

NAME OF OFFICER BEING ASSESSED:	
STAFF CATEGORY:	CAMPUS:
DEPARTMENT/OFFICE	NAME OF ASSESSOR
POSITION	POSITION
DATE ASSUMED POSITION	DATE
CONTRACTUAL STATUS	
EXPIRATION DATE	
REVIEW PERIOD	

SECTION B: PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES

Performance objectives must be specific, reasonable, attainable and measurable. There must be at least one objective for each area of responsibility to be undertaken during the review period.

- State the agreed objectives for the period under review.
- At the end of the review period indicate your level of achievement by placing an (x) under the appropriate level.
- Where specific targets have been minimally achieved or have not been achieved, please explain in the space provided for 'Comments' on page 2.

	5	4	3	2	1
To be set jointly by Supervisor and-staff member. Indicate each new objective with a etter of the alphabet).	Fully Achieved	Substantially Achieved	Partially Achieved	Minimally Achieved	Not A objected
	•				
	-		•••••		
			•••••		ļ
		·	••••••		
MMENTS:	•••••	• • • • •		•••••	
	• • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • •	•••••	
	• • • • • • •				
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • •	•••••	

NOTE: In evaluating the staff member's performance at Section C, please use a rating of 1 to 5, with 5 being the most positive rating and 1 being the least positive.

SECTION C

EVALUATION OF PERFORMANCE

EVALUATION OF 1	EKF	<u>UKMP</u>	NCE			
RATING SCALE 5. Surpasses targets and standards beyond 75% of the time. 4. Surpasses targets and standards at least 50% of the time. 3. Meets expected targets and standards at least 50% of the time. 2. Meets expected targets and standards <50% of the time. 1. Fails to meet targets and standards.	5	4	3	2	1	REMARKS
CRITERIA FOR ASSESSMENT				<u> </u>		
 Professional Competence: Knowledge of the University's policies and procedures Ability to collect and analyse data Ability to organise and co-ordinate complex projects 						
 Quality (i.e. comprehensiveness, accuracy and reliability) of work produced Level of efficiency in utilising the University's resources 						
 Membership in professional organisations Ability to communicate effectively and present ideas and concepts orally and in writing. 						
Professional growth through continuing education and training.						
Industry/Productivity:						
 Level of achievement of work targets Ability to meet deadlines 						
Quantity of work produced (e.g. proposals, project documents, reports produced)						
Service Delivery:						
Quality of interaction between colleagues, clients and students						

RATING SCALE 5. Surpasses targets and standards beyond 75% of the time. 4. Surpasses targets and standards at least 50% of the time. 3. Meets expected targets and standards at least 50% of the time. 2. Meets expected targets and standards <50% of the time. 1. Fails to meet targets and standards. CRITERIA FOR ASSESSMENT cont'd Service Delivery (cont'd):	5	4	3	2	1	REMARKS
Level of client satisfaction as measured by feedback received						
Leadership:						
Ability to plan, organise and implement work programme						
Level of team work generated where applicable						
General deportment and personal example demonstrated						
Ability to evaluate and assist in the development of staff.						
Creativity and Innovation:						
Ability to introduce more efficient procedures						
Ability to adapt and manipulate new systems and programmes						
Ability to achieve cost effectiveness without compromising quality through the use of creative/innovative applications						
Outreach and University service:						
Involvement in international conferences and professional organisations						
Contribution to the wider community e.g. though participation in other service organisations.						

SECTION D

OVERALL LEVEL OF PERFORMANCE

Using the levels of performance as defined at the beginning of Section "C", indicate in the box below the

descriptor which best describes the overall performance of the staff member.							
•							
SECT	TION E						
BECT	RECOMMENDATION (where applicable)						
a.	Renewal of contract on tenure						
b.	Renewal of contract for three years						
c.	Renewal of contract for two years						
d.	Renewal of contract for one year						
e.	Termination of contract						
f.	Award of special increment (s)						
g.	Promotion to higher grade						
TDAI	NINC DECOMMENDATIONS (vol. one months able)						
<u>IKAI</u>	NING RECOMMENDATIONS (where applicable)						
•••••							
••••••							
•••••							
•••••							
•••••							
SECTION E Cont'd							
FURTHER COMMENTS/RECOMMENDATIONS BY ASSESSOR							

SIGNATURE OF ASSESSOR DATE
CD COV D
SECTION F
EMPLOYEE'S COMMENTS
EN ADY ON THE GROWN A STATE OF
EMPLOYEE'S SIGNATURE
DATE
SECTION G
SUMMARY STATEMENT OF THE STAFF MEMBER'S
PERFORMANCE FOR THE PERIOD TO

•••••				
EMPLOYER	'S SIGNATURE			
			DATE	
		•••••	DATE	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
EMPLOYEE	'S SIGNATURE			
			DATE	
			DAIL	